

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,080	02/26/2004	Yasuhisa Mashiko	60188-786	3537
	7590 10/28/200 Γ WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR	EET, NW	•	LAMB, CHRISTOPHER RAY	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/786,080	MASHIKO, YASUHISA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher R. Lamb	2627	

	Christopher R. Lamb	2627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places I application in condition for allowance; (2) A Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
	liance with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Slad within two worth	a of the date of					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I a) They raise new issues that would require further coi b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);						
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Americanient (1 OL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 3-5.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see NOTE below.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Joseph H. Feild/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Note 11: Applicant argues that Wachi does not disclose wherein "the control information generating means includes numerical range limiting means for limiting the numerical range of the operation result value. Applicant's argument is that the value is not the detected location of the optical spot, but that the operation result value is used to detect the location of the spot by looking it up in a table

However, the claim states that the revolution number information is "based on an operation result value obtained by the division." In Wachi, the location of the optical spot is "an operation result value obtained by the division." The product of the division operation is used as an input to the ROM table and the location of the optical spot is the output: therefore the location of the spot is an operation result value obtained by the division, in that the division is used to obtain the location.

Since a stored table inherently has a limited number of entries, the range of possible outputs of the table is also inherently limited. Furthermore, since the table outputs are locations on an optical disc of a specific size, the table would not have values that are outside the size of the disc. Therefore the operation result value must be finited to a rance that includes only ossible locations on the disc.

Applicant refers to a specific paragraph in their specification to describe what they've intended to claim. If Applicant believes their operation result value is different than the one relied on in Wachi, or that the limit circuit functions differently than the inherent limits of Wachi, they are welcome to claim these differences. However, Wachi meets the current claim language.